

KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
United States Attorney

EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)
Chief, Criminal Division

NAHLA RAJAN (CSBN 218838)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-6838
FAX: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 05-00787 JSW
)	
Plaintiff,)	PROPOSED ORDER AND
)	STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME
v.)	UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
)	
GASPAR GONGORA-GONGORA,)	
aka Gaspar Gongora,)	
aka Gaspar Pacheco,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

The parties stipulate and agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

1. The parties initially appeared on the instant matter November 2, 2005 for defendant's initial appearance on the complaint. On November 17, 2005, the parties appeared in front of the Honorable Nandor J. Vadas for arraignment, time was waived, and the matter was continued until November 29, 2005 for arraignment. On November 29, 2005, time was again waived and the matter was continued until December 7, 2005 for arraignment.

2. On December 7, 2005, the parties appeared in front of the Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte, and the matter was continued until December 9, 2005 for arraignment.

3. On December 7, 2005, Assistant Public Defender David W. Fermino, who represents the defendant, requested an exclusion of time from December 7, 2005 to December 9, 2005,

1 based on effective preparation of counsel. The defendant agreed to an extension of time for the
 2 preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) and an exclusion of time
 3 under the Speedy Trial Act. The parties are involved in discussions which appear likely to lead
 4 to pre-indictment resolution of this case. Therefore, the parties are requesting an extension of
 5 time under Rule 5.1(d) and an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. The parties agree
 6 that the time from December 7, 2005 to December 9, 2005 should be extended under Rule 5.1(d)
 7 and excluded in computing the time within which an information or indictment must be filed.
 8 See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

9 4. In light of the foregoing facts, the failure to grant the requested exclusion would
 10 unreasonably deny counsel for the defense the reasonable time necessary for effective
 11 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See id. The ends of justice would
 12 be served by the Court excluding the proposed time period. These ends outweigh the best
 13 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See id. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

14 5. For the reasons stated, the time period from December 7, 2005 to December 9, 2005 is
 15 extended under Rule 5.1(d) and excluded from the calculation of time under the Speedy Trial
 16 Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

17
 18 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19 DATED: _____

Respectfully Submitted,

20
 21 /S/

22 NAHLA RAJAN
 Special Assistant United States Attorney

23
 24 DATED: _____

/S/

25 DAVID W. FERMINO
 Counsel for Gaspar Gongora-Gongora

26 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

27
 28 DATED: January 9, 2006

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 CR 05-00787 JSW

2

HONORABLE Elijah D. Laporte APORTE
 United States Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte

